Reaction of Dithionite with Nitroxides. A New Possible Spin Trapping Agent of Superoxide Ion

EMANUELE ARGESE, EMILIO F. ORSEGA, PAOLO VIGLINO

Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Venice, Venice, Italy

and ADELIO RIGO

Laboratory of Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Institute of General Pathology, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

Received July 24, 1981

Although the formation of superoxide ion, $O_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, has been proposed in autoxidations and in oxygen metabolizing organisms, its detection is still a debated argument because of the low sensitivity and specificity of $O_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ trapping agents [1]. These detection methods require the use of Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD), an enzyme which reacts with $O_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ at a rate close to the diffusion limit, and therefore $O_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ production is calculated from the fraction of the trapping reaction inhibited by SOD.

In the search for species able to react with O_{2}^{+} , producing relatively stable free radicals such as nitroxides which can be detected by EPR spectroscopy at room temperature and at concentrations of the order of 10^{-7} *M*, new chemical species (which appear to meet these requirements) have been obtained from the reaction between nitroxides themselves and free radicals. This study describes the preparation of such a type of spin trap (NOS) from 4-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinoxyl, (I), and SO₂⁻ radical and its used in the detection of short lived O₂⁻ radicals.

Experimental

Preparation of the O_2^{T} Spin Trapping Agent (NOS) The addition of small aliquots of Na₂S₂O₄ (which in aqueous solutions give rise to the equilibrium S₂O₄⁻ \neq 2SO₂⁻ [2]) into a nitrogen-bubbled 10⁻² M I solution buffered at pH 8.0 with 0.1 M sodium phosphate determined the decrease of EPR spectrum of I until its complete disappearance when the molar ratio [dithionite]/[I] \approx 0.65 was reached. In these conditions the EPR spectrum of the reaction mixture was completely flat, indicating that the species SO₂⁻ was still absent. Voltammetric measurements showed the same behaviour. In fact the polarographic reduction waves of I and of S₂O₄⁻, which occur at -330 and -420 mV vs saturated calomel electrode respectively, were completely absent at a ratio [dithionite]/ [I]₀ $\simeq 0.65$, while no polarographic wave due to the reaction product NOS could be observed.

The reaction mixture so obtained, containing the reaction product between I and SO_2^T , can be stored, frozen under nitrogen, for several days.

The addition of $1 M H_2O_2$ to the NOS solution regenerates the starting nitroxide I, with a yield of 90%, as it results from EPR spectra taken after a hour from the H_2O_2 addition.

SO₂ Determination

Small portions of the analysing solutions were brought to pH 1.0 by concentrated HCl and then nitrogen was bubbled into solutions to withdraw the SO₂ eventually formed. The stripped SO₂ was measured by differential pulse voltammetry [3]. In the case of NOS solutions a ratio $[SO_2]/[I]_0 \approx 0.1$ has been calculated, where $[I]_0$ is the starting nitroxide concentration.

Results and Discussion

Steady-state concentrations of superoxide radicals have been generated by slow perfusion of a DMSO solution containing 0.5 M 18-crown-6 and 0.4 M KO₂ into buffered aqueous solutions efficiently stirred. The addition of 10^{-3} M NOS to this system results in an EPR spectrum identical to that of I, which suggests that the reaction between NOS and O_2^{-} leads to the formation of the nitroxide I again. In these conditions the SO₂ analysis in the reaction system gives a ratio $[SO_2]/[I]_{formed} \approx 1$ independently of the $O_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ added. The addition of $10^{-6} M$ SOD strongly inhibits the formation of nitroxide I (see Fig. 1) while the presence of 10^{-3} M NaN₃ has practically no effect on the rate of appearance of the EPR signal. Since NaN₃ scavenges efficiently ${}^{1}\Delta O_{2}$ [4], which according to some authors is generated in the spontaneous dismutation of O_2^{\star} [5], it appears that $^{1}\Delta O_{2}$ is not implicated in the formation of nitroxide I from NOS.

A sensitive autoxidation of NOS to nitroxide by molecular oxygen has been observed only at a pH higher than 9, while the addition of $10^{-4} M H_2 O_2$ to $10^{-3} M$ NOS regenerates nitroxide I at a rate of 2.1 μ M min⁻¹ which is completely independent of pH. Since, by addition of catalase at micromolar concentrations, the H₂O₂ can be easily brought to concentrations which are orders of magnitude lower than $10^{-4} M$, the increase of EPR signal due to H₂O₂ can be completely abolished. Efforts to elucidate directly the chemical structure of NOS has been unsuccessful because of the impossibility of its separation from aqueous solutions. However, the formation of SO₂



Fig. 1. Rates of formation of 4-amino-2, 2, 6, 6-tetramethylpiperidinoxyl when 0.4 M O_2^{-} in DMSO as KO₂-18-crown-6 complex was added continuously with efficient stirring into buffered solutions containing $10^{-3} M$ NOS and $5 \times 10^{-7} M$ catalase. Rate of O_2^{-} introduction $4 \times 10^{-4} M$ min⁻¹. Curve A, 0.1 M sodium borate pH 8.9. Curve B, 0.1 M potassium phosphate pH 8.9. Curve C, as curve A plus $10^{-6} M$ SOD. Curve D, as B plus $10^{-6} M$ SOD.

and I in equimolecular amounts in the reaction between NOS and O_2^{τ} , together with the practical absence of SO₂ in the reaction between I and dithionite, suggests that dithionite does not act as reducing agent of NO[•] group of nitroxide and that therefore NOS should be formed in a coupling reaction between NO[•] group and SO[•]₂ radicals leading

to a structure of the type
$$N-O-S < O(-)$$
, which

in turn is oxidized by O_2^{T} according to the following reaction scheme:

$$\sum_{n=0-s} O(-) + O_2^{T} \longrightarrow NO^{*} + SO_2 + H_2O_2$$

References

,

- J. M. McCord, J. D. Crapo and I. Fridovich in 'Superoxide and Superoxide Dismutase', A Michelson, J. McCord, I. Fridovich E., Acad. Press., London, 11 (1977).
- 2 L. Burlamacchi, S. Guerrini and E. Tiezzi, *Trans. Far. Soc.*, 65, 469 (1969).
- 3 A. Rigo, M. Cherido, E. Argese, P. Viglino and C. Dejak, *The Analyst*, 106, 474 (1981).
- 4 N. Hasty, P. B. Merkel, P. Radlick and D. Kearns, Tetrahedron Letters, 1, 49 (1972).
- 5 D. Kearns, Chem. Rev., 71, 395 (1971).